

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/663,320	09/15/2000	Gavin C. Hirst	BBC-081/A	3710
75	90 04/01/2004		EXAM	INER
GAYLE B. O'BRIEN			KIFLE, BRUCK	
ABBOTT BIORESEARCH CENTER 100 RESEARCH DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WORCESTER, MA 01605-4314			1624	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/663,320	HIRST ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the second the se
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 March 2004. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furthe	· ·	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	,-	
<ul><li>(c)       they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	i better form for appeal by matei	rially reducing or simplifying the
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	,
10. Other:		
		Bruck Kifle, Ph.Ø. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624